(1)

Shimoga Deputy Commissioners No. 16/15 dated 3rd July 1895 submitting proceedings in re the unauthorised cultivation of coffee in his inam kan by one Thimmanna Gavda of Hemmakki village in the Tirthahalli Taluk.

The Deputy Commissioner of Shimoga, in his No. 950 dy 28-1-94, asked the Amildar of Thirthahalli to report (giving particulars of survey number, area, assessment &c) upon the cultivation of coffee by one Thimmanna in the inam kan standing in the name of himself, Sreenivasa Bhat and others, and also to enquire of the khathedar on what authority he cultivated coffee thereon. If permission had been granted in the recent coffee settlement the Amildar was also to submit the records pertaining to the case, as also the title deed of the Inam land.

Thereupon the Amildar reported that Survey Nos 42 and 56 bearing an assessment of Rs 12 were being cultivated with coffee; that they were enfranchised inam lands and were entered in the registers a

Submitted to the Second Councillor—

I see that the lands are continued as Brahmadaya Inam. ~~There is nothing to show that they were resumed by~~ I should be glad to have the opinion of Col Grant—Reg 9 of Col Grant's Code in the Coffee Lands matter.

4.9.95

(9)

Branhadaya Inams. In the coffee settlement register the lands are entered as inams with the remark that coffee is grown on 30 acres of No 20 and on the whole (10 acres 20 guntas) of No 56 but that the plants thereon had dried up and that Thimanna was not desirous of ~~proceeding~~ cultivating coffee. This remark is attested by Thimmanna. The amildar says that in his examination of the spot he found 30 acres were ~~under~~ ~~coffee~~ ~~cultivation~~ stocked with coffee plants and that 15 acres more of the kan land had been prepared to receive the coffee plant. ~~He~~ ~~adverts~~ in his ~~report~~ No coffee settlement patta had been issued in this case. On being questioned as to the authority upon which he cultivated coffee, the kathadar says that he required no special permission as they were inam lands. The amildar ~~observes~~ observes in his report, that in Rule 5 of Govt Notn: No 1492 of 25-11-85 forbids kan owners from cultivating coffee on their kans. No mention is however made of alienated Inam kan lands. If the latter description of kan is also included in the term kan holders, the right to coffee cultivation in inam kans without permission is not vested in the Inamdar. Otherwise there is no rule prohibitting the ~~cultivation~~ cultivation

1894-95
(L.R.)

File No. 148-94.
Serial No. 1-19.

Sub:- Unauthorised cultivation coffee in Inam lands by Thimmaiah Gowda of Hemmadi village Thirthahalli Taluk

D*85-97

G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references. 1894-95

192

(L R.)

File No. 48-94

Serial Nos. 19

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Serial Nos. 1-19

Major ~~Part B~~ for July 1895.

SUBJECT.

Unauthorised Cultivation of Coffee on Inam Land held by Thimmanah Gowda of Yemmalekeragé Nidhadavolu Taluk

---

WD 2238—GPB—20,000—14-6-20

Countersigned

M. [illegible]

The annidar adds that in the present case the cultivation of coffee is contrary to the remark entered in the coffee register and alluded to above.

Upon the above report of the annidar the D.C. passed the following order.

"In the coffee settlement register it is entered under the signature of the Rattedar that he will not grow coffee upon the lands in question. That he should have been allowed to grow coffee unmolested up to this time and until it came to the notice of the D.C. is a grave omission on the part of the Talati authorities, the coffee registers of the annidar of a tract do not extend to growing coffee but only to ordinary mamul rights. In the present case the lands upon which coffee is grown are liable to resumption, but this extreme measure is waived. The lands should be assessed at rates of Rs. 1-0-0 or Rs. 1-8-0 per acre according as the Rattedar may desire to have his lands settled for a period of 30 years or permanently, and the amount collected for the period during which the land has been cultivated with coffee. It must also be reported in detail

that this the Katticar has
cut down and the amount of
Seigniorage to be ~~cut~~ levied
from him under the rules.

Thimmanna was examined
by the Amildar. In his deposition
he says that he has, as decided
at the Inam settlement is an
inam Kan, that he was not aware
that he should not cultivate coffee
there; that he is not willing to
pay coffee Kandayam at rate of
one rupee or one and half rupee, nor
the value of tree on his Kan; that
he must should be permitted to
enjoy the land as inam; that
he was very young at the coffee
settlement and does not remember
anything that he may have said at the time
of the coffee settlement.

The Amildar having reported
the above facts the Dy. Comm. ordered
that the portion of Kan land upon
which coffee was grown to be
assessed at Rs. - - per acre and
the ~~loss~~ of a seigniorage of at
Rs. 2- per acre, as provided by the
rules. The Amildar was asked
to treat the amount as a revenue
demand and have the same collected
as per rule ~~2~~ provided, therefore.
The Dy. Commissioner in this order

that Kan. owners are entitled only to ~~assess~~ levy a single produce and that the rights do not extend to coffee cultivation.

The Amildar accordingly issued orders to the Thickdar to collect from Thimmanna Rs 536-8-6 (exclusive of arrears) on the following accounts.

Rs 1.8-3-0 Assessment on 8-20 of 1893 and 32 acres of No 42 (portions upon which coffee was grown) at one rupee per acre.

Rs 1.85-0-0 Seigniorage on the land at Rs 10 per acre.

3-4-6 : Cess.

Rs 536-8-6.

The Amildar having requested instructions as to the basis upon which the arrears were to be calculated, the Commissioner directed the examination of Thimmanna as to (1) since when he began the coffee cultivation (2) since when he harvested the coffee crops.

He (Thimmanna) was examined. He says he began the cultivation in Virodhi when he planted acres

Vikruti	1887-90	5 "
Khara	1890-91	8 "
Nandana	1891-92	3
Vijaya	1892-93	10 1/2 "
Jaya	1893-94	11
	1894-95	37 1/2 acres

Annexures with reference to 468-96-7

The 2nd Councillor's order

The precis of Dy Comr's

16 dated 3-7-95

18

30/10/96 Submitted

Rule 5 of the Revised Kani Rules prohibits the planting of Coffee. (Please see Note No 1492 of 25th November 1895 put up with the precis)

5/11/96

26.30 Pm

12/96.

Until the new ... taken after ... correct. The main ... rather the alienation ... is of the forest ... with in this ... only 12 ... out of 185 acres. ... avoid this fault ... alienation the ... subject to the ... es & restrictions as ... adhere to Kandayan ... tail of Kan tenure. ... Dy Comr is quite ... it in objection to ... offer alienation. ... best course would ... such case is to

3509

1896 NOV. 96

Fol 298

MYSORE GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT'S OFFICE

From

The Superintendent of
Inam Settlements in Mysore,
Bangalore.

To

The ~~Chief~~ Secretary to the
Government of Mysore.

~~Land Rev. and Statistical~~ General & Rev: Department

Dated 25th
Despatched 25th } November
Received

Enclosure No. One bundle

No. 45 of 1896-97

Opines that the Rules which apply to Government Kains must apply also to Inam Kains as far as restrictions are concerned.

Rev Dept
25/11/96

Reference pointed.
Rao
27/11/96

(66)

He also says that the interest
of Natives & lands are prepared
for coffee plant, that since Mandara
the plants have been yielding; that
he has harvested 3 crops ~~from the~~
~~plants~~

Thimmanna has now appealed
to Govt against the Dist Commr's
order levying Coffee Land assessment
and distraint on his lands. (see abstract)
In the mean time Thimmanna
again represents (in the abstract)
that his lands were notified to be
sold on 1-7-95 for dues, as stated
above) the sale was ordered to
be held in abeyance pending the
disposal of the appeal.

Encl.
8-8-95.

Read
198 on a reference made to
the Asst Supt, Mysore
who gave it as his
opinion that the reasons
applying to Govt Karas
also applies to Asst Karas

Thereupon SO no 7648-49
Pet-1370
& 28/2/97 it was passed
remitting the fine inflicted
on account of telegraph
& giving the pet^r the
option of converting the
holding into Coffee holding
as a special case or of
conforming to the Rules
(& being excused the pass-
ment).

In his pet^n no 345 & 30/7/97
the pet^r prayed for review
of the above order
The application ~~for~~ was
posted for hearing the
pet^r who applied repeatedly
for adjournments

The case has now been
posted for hearing on 13/8/98

Meanwhile, the Dy Comm
recommends, in his letter
No 1265/1043 dt 5/5/98, that
the Kan & Dram tenure of
S no 42 may be cancelled
& the land resumed by
Govt

The letter shows that
the pet^{r}, though warned
by the Govt order & the local
authority that all the planting
of coffee is in violation of the
Kan tenure, has acted
contumaciously throughout

10/6/98

prevent the prohibited
cultivation altogether
rather than impose
a collect heavy assessment
under the Office rules
against the wishes of
the occupant. In this
view the Secretary
must be given the
option of removing all
Office & confining his
Employment to the Rent
Rules - If he submits
to this condition & carries
out the order of the Dy
Comm within a reasonable time
Comm he may be exempt
the part assessment
& also the fine in lieu
of resumption of
Part of the Sch. The
~~Procedure~~ was novel
and unprecedented
& ~~the Sch. Act~~ would
not be characterised
as wantonly mischievous
or Positively heinous as ground of Sch
or Contumacious - The
~~board authorities also~~
Collr ~~not~~ allowed free from
care / to let any tenant allowing
the cultivation who cared
or for a number of years
without let or hindrance
If the Sch is however
willing to have the holding
converted ~~under the Office~~
under
into a Office tenure under

* Hope-under
acquiesce & let me
see the final Memo
before you go

15/2/17

14/2/17

Mukherjee
Draft to be prepared
M 17/2/17

Pro: draft
JRC
19/2/17

† his rights & liabilities
as the local authorities
~~were~~ circumstances
were in the matter.

Gen. Serial No. 32.]

1895

No. 3270

Name of Petitioner. Jinnamma [illegible] Ammal Dasi of

Village. Maravadi

Taluk. Vilakkudi

District. Chingleput

Date of Arzi. 2-6-95

Date of Receipt. 6-6-95

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures. 5

ABSTRACT.

With reference to Endorsement No. 2718 of 14-5-95 begs to submit copies of certain documents and states that he has applied for copies of some other documents relating to the Inam Khasra and that if required he would send them. Prays that as requested that the boundaries fixed on his inam kiran and also the value of trees proposed to be removed from inam may be furnished.

Prays further that the Inam Kiran which has been attached may be proposed to be released.

2/6.

the rules applicable
to ~~effect holdings~~ that tenure he
may be permitted
to draw from the ~~2nd~~
year in which ~~he~~
~~began to~~ the
plantation began
to yield. In any
case the heavy fine
inflicted on account
of the reigniorage
will be remitted

1572/97

Remit
Hon Secretary Govt.
Revd
Endorse with thanks
receipt to B.A.

LR 148-94-1

Gen. Serial No. 32.] (1)

189

No. 2718

Name of Petitioner. Iruvanam [illegible]

Village. Kennadi

Taluk. Devikolli

District. Vellore

Date of Appl. 13-4-95

Date of Receipt. 16-4-95

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures. Nil

ABSTRACT.

[illegible] Survey Nos. 42 and 56 of Kennadi village measuring 8-23 and bearing an assessment of Rs. 12/- were leased out to the petitioner on [illegible] (vide case No. 441 and file No. 4616). Out of the said lands he has cultivated coffee extensively for a very long time. But the Coffee plants were destroyed by frost during the last year. He has now replanted the said lands with coffee but under the Rules he would only be entitled to minor forest produce as long as the land is assessed. And as he has to cultivate the land with coffee and as the coffee plants and the value of the crops would be destroyed if taxed, he prays that the lands may be exempted from assessment.

Land Revenue Officer

Copy of above submitted to the Collector

Addl

22/4

Addl

Recommended

26/4/95

8-5-95

Copy of the above forwarded to the Collector

Cancel case -

Issue sufficient

Intimation

Sub-Collector

CC

Collector

14/6/95

14/6/95

Transfer file

17/6

Transferred

17/6

Sel 1830 P. A.S. 180 of 1894-95

LR 148-94-3 (17)

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

The accompanying Appeal Petition
Registered No. 2718 of 3270 dated 13 April
2 June 95
received at the Dewan's Office from
Timmanna Gowda, son of Kullende Ramia Gowda, Inamdar Hemmakalli, Durthakalli Taluk, appealing against the Shimoga Deputy Commr's order directing ~~[illegible]~~ recovery from petitioner's farm at Coffee rate ~~of the value of Rubber~~ procured on his ~~Inam~~ Kurr in Nagari Moovalli, ~~on the ground that petitioner had no right to cultivate it with coffee~~

~~are~~ is forwarded, together with ~~its~~ five enclosures, to the Deputy Commissioner of the Shimoga District for submission of the entire proceedings connected with the case.

2. The petition with its enclosures should be returned.

By order,

Dept (2d Comml order)

Chief Sec

BANGALORE,
14/6 1895
17

17/6

M.G.C.P.B.—25-4-95—1,0

Applicable to post posts but not to such diam
klamo - not to use can keeping but for the
Lai kalkaa - and this is by forest orders
& speed to police - Keep keeping that the
Lai use may be at end -

For this note not the Labor committee
demand for increasing wages by

Kirtki coconut — Rs. 485-a-8
Kandyan —— " 48-8-a
Jaffna —— 3-a-6
5-36-8-6

Rear that needs may be fixed not
to recover more than the Lai kalkaa till
Lai case is disposed of -

20/4.

Gen. Serial No. 31.]

1895

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE
6 JUL 95

57
Pet 4

(19)

LR 148-94-5

From The Deputy Commissioner Shimoga District

To The Secretary to the Government Revenue
~~Dewan~~ of Mysore.

Dated 3d July 1895

Despatched

Received

Enclosures—No. 7 and 7 enclosures

General No. 16

No. 15

With reference to his offl Memorandum No Pet 1830 of 14th ultimo forwards entire proceedings connected with the petition from Shimmanna Gowda, Kemmakki village, Tirthalli Taluk.

Pet 677/95

Rec'd 8/7

Govt Pet 3372 of 94

RC 9/7 To be filed with the case mentioned therein

RC 9/7

1895

From The Deputy Commissioner
Shimoga District

To

The Revenue Secretary to the
Government of Mysore

Dated 3d July 1895

No 16/15 [illegible] papers

His official Memorandum No. [illegible]
dated 14th Ultimo forwarding Petition
from Shivannanna Gowda, Kenn
village, Tirthalli Taluk, appealing ag
the Deputy Commissioner's order de
recovery from Petitioner assessment
Coffee Rate on his Kans in Bagare
valli Hea. and requesting submiss
of the entire proceedings connecte
the case.

In returning the petitions
enclosures received with the abov
the honor to forward the entire p
relating to the case as called f

Deputy Commissioner

LR 14 & 94-3

Gen. Serial No. 32.]

1895 [illegible]

No. 3377

Name of Petitioner. [illegible]

Village. [illegible]

Taluk. [illegible]

District. [illegible]

Date of Arzi. 12-6-[illegible]

Date of Receipt. 15-6-[illegible]

Date of Disposal.

No. of Annexures.

ABSTRACT.

[illegible]

(88)

Received by post 6. 6. 95

no
3270 Ev. 5



Revenue Shiristedar
Finance Assistant

[illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]
[illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]
[illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]

Pet 1871

P 5

21-6-95

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

The accompanying Petition No. 3377 dated 12th January 1895 received at the Dewan's Office from Timmanna of Mercara, Coorg praying that pending disposal of the appeal No: 180 of 1894-95 before Govt. he may be exempted from remitting the amount is forwarded, together with its enclosures, to the Secretary, Chief Court, Coorg, with a request that no sale may be held in the pending disposal of the appeal before Govt.

LR 14.8-9 to 4

Aft R 5-6-6 Inform petitioner may be heard in appeal

By Order,

Bangalore, 8/6 1895

Chief Secretary

Rec:

Returned 21/6

M.G.C.P.E.—19-2-95—1,000

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE
PETITION DEPARTMENT.
REG'D. IN 2718
DATE 10-4-35

Rec
10/4/35
2718



950

(Sd) Abdul Rahman
Deputy Commissioner

"True Copy"
25.4.95
Revenue Shirastedar

[illegible]

[illegible] 26.1.95

[illegible] 19.4.95

[illegible] 6-5-95

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

(Sd) Abdul Rahaman

True copy

25/4/95

Advocate

Shimoga

COURT FEE

SHIMOGA DIST.

398

28-1-94

7-2-94

True Copy.

Revenue Shirastedar
Shimoga district

26-1-98

20-4-98

6-5-98

ಕಾಲಿಗೆ ಕಟ್ಟಿಕೊಂಡಿರುವ ಕಾಲುಚೀಲ ಮತ್ತು ಶೂ ಗಳನ್ನು ಬಿಚ್ಚಿ ಹಾಕಿ ಕೈಕಾಲು ಮುಖ ತೊಳೆದುಕೊಂಡು ಬಂದು ಕುಳಿತುಕೊಳ್ಳಬೇಕು.

ಪಾಠ ಮಾಡುವಾಗ ಶಿಕ್ಷಕರು ಹೇಳಿದ ವಿಷಯವನ್ನು ಗಮನವಿಟ್ಟು ಕೇ

True Copy

25/July/95

Revenue Shiriotedor

Shirgaph Mahal

Draft memo. sent to Mr Assist Secy Govt
4/12.

Draft for approval

No 7

The Superintendent of Survey
~~Bangalore~~ in Mysore

Dated 23rd Sept: 1896
G. 3360
S.498

~~In forwarding here~~
~~with~~ the accompanying petitions Regd nos 2718 & 3270 dated 13th April '95 and 2nd June '95 respectively received in this office from Nimmanna Gowda of Hemmakki in the Seringapatam Taluk appealing against the order of the Deputy Commr of Shemoga directing the recovery of assessment at Coffee rate on the petitioners ~~dry~~ Kans Survey nos 42 & ~~[redacted]~~ 56 of Muvalli attached to the Village of Hemmakki

~~[redacted]~~ ~~that the lands in question appear to have been conformed as Brahmadeya Inams and to request that~~ the Superintendent of ~~Survey~~ will be ~~requested~~ as to favour the Govt with

his opinion regarding the petitioners' liability to pay Coffee assessment for cultivating the ~~land~~ Kani which has been confirmed as Brahmadeya Inam ~~to~~ with Coffee ~~cultivation by members~~.

2 The petitions should be returned.

By order

Draft
C
2

for Secretary

(Note after issue this file and register & send back case papers & 2nd Register - Entire Form 6 Not = 46)

blu
23/9/96

1896 (9)

No. 1324

Name of Petitioner Hoolunde Thimmé Gowda

Village Mandagadde

Taluk Shimoga

District Shimoga.

Date of Arzi 29-10-96

Date of Receipt 30-10-96

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure 2

ABSTRACT.

Petr with reference to his appeal against the order of the Asstt Commr directing the levy from the appellant of Rs 856-8-6 as malkhi for his lands transmitted that in levying cultivated Coffee on the same level, he has not acted contrary to any rule in force in the Province nor has he interfered with the rights of anyone. He has been furnished copy of the order of the Asstt Commr which therefore has not been annulled and justice done to him.

B.L.
5/11/96

T 1324

Memorandum submitted by Kodumbe Thimmégowda of Mandagudde. Thimma Patel in support of the Appeal preferred by him

MYSORE DEPARTMENT
PETITION DEPARTMENT
LOD N. 1324/96
DATE 31/10/96

Received in Council 29-10-96

Judgment under the case 29/10

The Appeal now before Your Honours is preferred against the order of the Deputy Commissioner (Mr Abdul Rahiman Saheb B.A.) directing the Eera Ran the Appellant of Rs: 536. 8. 6 as Malki etc:

The ground for passing the said order is presumably that the Appellant has cultivated Coffee on his "Kan" lands contrary to rule and against his own undertaking to that effect, given on a former occasion.

This order, the Appellant submits, is opposed to all sound principles of Justice and is not justifiable by any rule in force.

It is opposed to Justice, in as much as it interferes, and vexatiously, with the free and untrammelled Enjoyment of one's property

in

in any manner he pleases
so long as he does not
trench upon the rights
of others Sovereign or Subject.

The Appellant understands
that Government has the
power to create Monopolies
in its favor and where such
are created, to prohibit Pri-
vate holders of Property
from doing anything which
militates against such
Monopolies. As instances
may be mentioned, the
Opium, the Salt and the
Abkary Monopolies In
each of these cases, a pri-
vate holder of Property
is interdicted from cultiva-
ting Poppy. manufacturing
Salt and Spirituous drinks
etc and is amenable to
punishment should he
contravene the order.

No such Monopoly
has been created in favor
of Government in respect
of Coffee, and in cultivating
it, the Appellant cannot be
said to have encroached
upon the Sovereign Rights
of Government.

Turning to the question
whether the Appellants
act

act for which he is punished is illegal, it has only been said that there is no positive rule of law prohibiting Coffee Cultivation. On the other hand, a strong impetus is given to this branch of Industry by the Paternal Government of His Highness the Maha Raja and this only emphasises the humane principles which guide it and the liberal views it holds in regard to the rights of its Subjects.

Nor is it any reason to punish Coffee Cultivation in individual cases merely on the ground he had not grown it before since what per se is not unlawful does not become such because it had never been thought of before.

The above observations apply with still greater force to the case of the Appellant as he holds the land on which Coffee is now grown, as Inam and over which Government has relinquished its

Government. This State-
ment, if any was made,
in the Coffee Physical Pa-
rish to which reference
is made in the Deputy
Commissioners order Cannot
refer to his rights in
the Enam Land but must
have been made in ignor-
ance of his plain rights
and the Appellant ventures
to hope that Your Honors
will not see it fit to
punish him for his ignor-
ance.

In Conclusion, the Ap-
pellant begs that on a
consideration of the whole
Case and keeping in view
that he has not trans-
gressed the rights of
his Sovereign nor viola-
ted any positive rule of
Government, that his
Case differs from that
of a holder of land from
Government, & that the Spi-
rit of the present Govern-
ment is to concede to all
its subject a free Enjoy-
ment of their property
so long it is not detri-
mental to its Sovereign
rights, Your Honors
will be graciously

pleased to annul th
order of the Deputy C
mission.

(45)

ತ 29-10-96 ಸಂಜೆ
ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ

ible for asserting any rights

Doubtless, it is upon
dence of Evans to limit
the rights of donees in
the property granted but
they cannot regulate
the mode in which it
should be enjoyed nor
restrict the Extent to which
it should be enjoyed.
In the present case, no
limitation has been placed
by the original Grantors
of the Evans and the Govern-
ment when confirming the
Evans to the Appellants
predecessors in title, reserved
for itself certain Specific
rights (such as Forest, Sayer
and Abbary) leaving the
Appellant free as to the
Enjoyment of all rights
not so Reserved, in any
manner and to any extent
he pleased.

The Settlement Officer
when confirming the Evans
to the Appellant has not
confined him to any partic-
ular mode for Enjoying
the property (vide Evans
Patta produced) and the
observation of the Deputy
Commissioner that the

Ap

FINAL

egister of the

ತಾಲ್ಲೂ

ನುಂ ಸನ್ನೆದು.

ned

ಟ್ಟ ಜಿಲ್ಲರೆ ಇನಾಂ ರಿಜಿಸ್ಟರ್ ಎಕಸ್ಟ್ರಾಕ್ಟು.

Description of Land. ಜಮೀನಿನ ತರಹ.		n confirmed. ಮಾಡಲ್ಪಟ್ಟ ಇನಾಂ.		Quit-Rent to Government. ಸರಕಾರಕ್ಕೆ ಕೊಡತಕ್ಕ ಕ್ವೀಟರೆಂಟ್ ಮುಂತಾದ್ದು.				Whether enfranchised or not. ಬಂಧ ನಿವೃತ್ತಿ ಆದದ್ದೋ ಆಗಲಾ ಇಲ್ಲದ್ದೋ.	Remarks. ಷರಾ.
	ea in ಸವರೀ ಗುಂಟೆ.	Survey Assessment. ಸರ್ವೇ ಜೇರೀಜ್ ರೂ ಪಾಯಿ.	Former Jodi. ಸಾಬಕ ಜೋಡಿ.	Quit-Rent now imposed. ಹಾಲೀಮೊಕರರ ಮಾಡಲ್ಪಟ್ಟ ಕ್ವೀಟ್ ರೆಂಟ್.	Inam Kasar. ಇನಾಂ ಕಸರ್.	Total. ಜುಮ್ಲಾ ಜಿಲ್ಲರೇ ಶಿವಾಯಿ			
		9	10	11	12	13		14	15
	3	.	-	-					
	23	44	-	-					
	3	.	-	-					
	34	24	4	.					
	3	.	12	.					
	2	2	4	.					
	32	4	4	.	123 9 11	14 1 2	. .	138	. .
	3	.	4	.					
	15	32	12	.					
	2	63	-	-					
	20	.	8	.					
	8	42	8	.					
	18	25	-	-					
	3	4	-	-					
	23	12	-	-					
	32	255	8	.					

SUPERINTENDENT SURVEY AND INAM SETTLEMENT * MYSORE *

Superintendent of Survey and Inam Settlements.

more Governm... —11-8-82.—5,000.

Appellant is only entitled to take the Kani produce whatever that may mean is not only illiberal but is opposed to all sense of free & unfettered Enjoyment of ones property

Like other people holding Enam Lands & growing Coffee, the Appellant's father, had grown it also on his Kani Enam land and the Appellant only developed it since. The Government knew Coffee was being grown on Enam Lands and was till 1885 Collecting Malat thereon when abolishing Malat as a general excise tax the Government willingly foreswent a portion of its Revenue present and prospective, from this source apparently in favor of the rights of its Subjects. The then Chief Commissioner was not oblivious of this fact, may be anticipated the Extension of Coffee Cultivation in Enam Lands and the probable increase still larger, but he made no amount of either but believed both to be insignificant Com.

Vide Camp No 1116 - 20 of 22nd Sept 85. p: 110 Rev: Manual

Compared with the benefit
the abolition of the Law
produced to the Public.
It was open then to the
Government, if it chose,
to prohibit Coffee Culti-
vation on Enam Lands
absolutely or to withhold
its extension without
much opposition from the
Enamdars but the Govern-
ment perceived no wisdom
in it and the Appellant
mentions this circumstance
only to indicate that he
has done nothing that
is positively prohibited
or is not permissible and
that the Deputy Commissio-
ner's Order is conceived
in a spirit by no means
friendly to the views of the
Government

The Deputy Commissioner
in his order refers to an
undertaking by the Ap-
pellant not to cultivate
Coffee, but the Appellant
does not recollect having
given any such nor the
circumstances under which
it was given if any. But
even supposing he did
undertake not to grow
Coffee, it would not be
any reason to bind him
to it since he is not vio-
lating any rule of Gover-

FINAL TITLE D

General No. 4440

Extract from the Register of the Minor Ina

Hobli. ಹೋಬಳಿ. Village. ಗ್ರಾಮ.	Serial Number. ಜಂಬರ್ ನಂಬರ್.	Name of Inamdar. ಇನಾಂದಾರ್ರ ಹೆಸರು.	Description of Inam. ಇನಾಮಿನ ತರಹ.	Conditions of Grant. ಗ್ರಾಂಟಿನ ಷರತ್ತು.	Description of Land. ಜಮೀನಿನ ತರಹ.	Survey Number. ಸರ್ವೇ ನಂಬರ್.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
						46
						47
						48
						49
						51
						52
						53
						55
						1

Inam Settlement Office,
Dated [illegible] 188[illegible].

Proceedings of the Govt. of Mysore

No. 146-96-8

Read:-

Petition registered No. 2718 dated 13th April 1895 from Timmanna Gowda, Inamdar of Hemmakki Village in the Tirthahalli Taluk appealing against the order of the Deputy Commissioner, Shimoga District directing levy of Coffee Kandayam and Leigniorage on his Inam Kan and claiming the right to cultivate Coffee in his Inam Kan free of assessment.

2. Records of the case received with letter No. 16/15 dated 3rd July 1895, from the Deputy Commissioner, Shimoga District, which show that the inam in question is a Brahmadaya Inam.

3. Rule No. 5 of Govt. Notification no. 1492/Camp dated 25th Nov. 1885, running as follows:-

"The Kan holder has no right to plant Coffee in the Kan and infringement of the conditions of the Kan lease will render the Kan liable to be resumed."

4. Letter No. 45 dated 25th November 1896 from the Superintendent of Inam Settlements in Mysore, stating that in

his

his opinion, the Rules which apply to Govt Kans must apply also to Inam Kans as far as restrictions as to the use to which the Kans are to be put, are concerned.

(S3)

Order thereon: 1648-49 / 1370.

Govt. Observe that the view taken by the Inam Superintendent is correct. The Inam or rather the alienation is of the Govt. assessment which in this instance is only 12 Rs on an extent of 185 acres. Barring this fact of alienation, the holding is subject to the same rules and restrictions as an ordinary Kandayam holding on Kan tenure. The Deputy Commissioner is quite right in objecting to the Coffee Cultivation of Coffee thereon. The best course to adopt in such cases is to prevent the prohibited cultivation altogether rather than impose and collect a heavy assessment under the Coffee Rules against the wishes of the occupant. In this view the petitioner must be given the option of removing all Coffee and conforming his enjoyment to the Kan Rules. If he submits to this condition and carries out the order of the Deputy Commissioner within a reasonable time he may be

exempted

WCOnsLOM

(55)

Received in court
on 28/3/97
[illegible]

Received in office
on 8. 3. 97.
[illegible]

No. 45

Mysore Residency

Mysore Survey Office
Bangalore the 25th Nov. 1885

To,

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore
General and Revenue Department

Sir,

In reply to your letter No. 3336 dated 23rd September last, I have the honor to state, that in my opinion, the Rules which apply to Government Kans must apply also to Inam Kans, so far as restrictions are concerned as to the use to which the Kans are to be put.

2. The enclosures of the said letter I now reply are herewith returned.

I have the honor to be,
Sir
Your most Obdt. Servt

Sd/-

Supdt.
Survey & Inam Settlt.

the first assessment and also the fine in lieu of Lieuvrage. The act of the petitioner was novel and unprecedented and would not be characterized as wantonly mischievous or contumacious. Possibly he was so ignorant of his rights and liabilities as the local authorities themselves were in the matter. The latter are not altogether free from blame in allowing this cultivation to be carried on for a number of years without let or hindrance. If the petitioner is, however, willing to have the holding converted into a coffee holding under the rules applicable to that tenure he may be permitted to do it from the year in which the plantation began to yield. In any case he has to pay the fine imposed on account of the Lieuvrage will be remitted.

Draft: 28.2.97
Secretary

25/2/97

To The Dy. Commr. Shemoga
with records.

2nd Copy of these Settlements to Myore.

3rd Dy. Commrs of other Districts

HCR
22/2/97.

Press copy sent this day for comparison today. Dated 6/3/97

A Special case
(The duties to be necessary as petr has already been directed to confine herself to the terms of Hon'ble Board's Proc. of the 5th Feb. to peremptory writ)

Draft

(To be printed)

Proceedings of the Government of Mysore, Petition, dated 28th February 1897.

READ:—

Petition, registered No. 2718, dated 13th April 1895, from Timmannagauda, inamdar of Hemmakki village in the Tirthahalli taluk, appealing against the order of the Deputy Commissioner, Shimoga district, directing levy of coffee kandayam and seigniorage on his inam kan, and claiming the right to cultivate coffee in his inam kan free of assessment.

2. Records of the case received with the letter No. 16—15, dated 3rd July 1895, from the Deputy Commissioner, Shimoga district, which show that the inam in question is a Brahmadaya inam.

3. Rule No. 5 of Government Notification No. 1492—Camp, dated 25th November 1885, running as follows:—

"The kan holder has no right to plant coffee in the kan and infringement of the conditions of the kan tenure will render the kan liable to be resumed."

4. Letter No. 45, dated 25th November 1896, from the Superintendent of Inam Settlements in Mysore, stating that in his opinion the rules which apply to Government kans must apply also to inam kans as far as restrictions as to the use to which the kans are to be put, are concerned.

No. 7648-49—P. 1370, DATED, BANGALORE, 28TH FEBRUARY 1897.

ORDER THEREON.—Government observe that the view taken by the Inam Superintendent is correct. The inam or rather the alienation is of the Government assessment which, in this instance, is only Rs. 12 on an extent of 185 acres. Barring this fact of alienation, the holding is subject to the same rules and restrictions as an ordinary kandayam holding on *kan tenure*. The Deputy Commissioner is quite right in objecting to the cultivation of coffee thereon. The best course to adopt in such cases is to prevent the prohibited cultivation altogether rather than impose and collect a heavy assessment under the coffee rules against the wishes of the occupant. In this view the petitioner must be given the option of removing all coffee and conforming his enjoyment to the kan rules. If he submits to this condition and carries out the order of the Deputy Commissioner within a reasonable time, he may be excused the past assessment and also the fine in lieu of seigniorage. The act of the petitioner was novel and unprecedented and would not be characterized as wantonly mischievous or contumacious. Possibly, he was as ignorant of his rights and liabilities as the local authorities themselves were in the matter. The latter are not altogether free from blame in allowing this cultivation to be carried on for a number of years without let or hindrance.

If the petitioner is, however, willing to have the holding converted into a coffee holding under the rules applicable to that tenure, he may be permitted, as a special case, to do so from the year in which the plantation began to yield. In any case, the heavy fine inflicted on account of the seigniorage will be remitted.

C. SREENIVASIENGAR,
Secy. to Govt., Gen. and Rev. Depts.

To—The Deputy Commissioner, Shimoga district, with records.
The Superintendent of Inam Settlements in Mysore.
The Deputy Commissioners of other Districts.

Fwd—M.A.R.

References
Bk. Notes

1370 of 97.9

1897

From

The Secretary to Govt
Genl & Rev. Depts.

To

The Supdt of Inam
Settlements
in Mysore

Dated 24 March/97

Despatched 24

Received

General No. 8282 P

P. No. 1429

Enclosure No. Nil

His docket No. 77 dated 13 March/97, pointing out with reference to Govt Proc. No. 7648-49 P 1370 of 28 ultimo, that the permission therein granted to the holder (of a Kan) to convert his Kan into a coffee holding will defeat the main object of Govt.

— a —

With reference to the above has the honor to state that Govt. quite agrees with the Inam Supdt. that Kans should not be converted into coffee gardens, as the primary object will thereby be defeated. But in the

No 148-94-10

case, a coffee garden has
been allowed to grow up
and it is hard that it should (61)
be cut up, as the local
authorities permitted it.
The order so far as it goes
is suitable to the circumstances
of this special case.

'By order'

Draft
2nd (amd order)

Asst Secretary

1896-97

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

PETITION

PROCEEDINGS

Dated 28th February 1897

No. 7648-49
P. 1370

Remitting the fine inflicted on account of seigniorage on Timmannaganda, inamdar of Hemmakki village in the Tirthahalli taluk, for having cultivated coffee on his inam kan, and observing that the holding is subject to the same rules and restrictions as an ordinary holding on kan tenure.

M.G.C.P.E.—31-3-97—120

send with reference to the Proceedings Regd to

17/3/97

Ld 118-96-9

Gl. No. 6054
Pc 585-

From (4) 189

The Superintendent of
Inam Settlements in Mysore,
Bangalore.

To

The Chief Secretary to the
Government of Mysore.

General Civil Revenue Department.

Dated 15th

Despatched 15th } Postage

Received

Enclosure No. -

No. 77 of 1896—97

Points out that the holder of an Inam is entitled to grant Kharab land for cultivation of coffee & other products without payment of assessment

It was because the ~~precedent~~ case of Lutchmi's case would be taken as a precedent, the word "as a special case" were inserted & those that had applied would be struck off the list (with the Govt proceedings)

fair draft

17/3/97

Under Sec 72.

Please see para 2 of the order on which this reference has been made as a special case -

15/3/97

Rd 11 Apr.
20/3/97.

I quite agree with the Inam Supt that Inams should be converted into coffee gardens - on the principle that will mostly be defeated. But in this case a coffee garden has been allowed to grow up & it is hard that it should be entirely at the Local authorities permitted it - The order so far as it goes is right

With the exception of this point case -

21.3.97.

Petition Branch
16/3/97

Examined with refce

16/3

No. 77

Indian Political Office
Bangalore 13th March 1857.

To,

The Secretary to the Government
of Bengal
General Department, Fort William.

Sir,

I have the honor to forward herewith a letter No. 148 dated 28th ult. addressed to the Secretary to the Government of India (Foreign Department) by Captain Goldingham, Officiating Political Agent at Travancore, and to request that the Honorable the Governor in Council will be pleased to direct that the Government of India be requested to cause the necessary steps to be taken for the punishment of the Murderers of Sheik Ahmed, mentioned in the letter from Captain Goldingham.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant

Signed Indian Political

Encl.

Before the Government of Mysore.

Petn
~~Appeal~~ No. 345 of 1897-98

Petr
~~Appellant.~~ Vs. ~~Respondent.~~

Srinivasa Iyengar & Others,
Virtuti Petn.

Notice served
6.9.97

Adjourned from the next
hearing date i.e. 18th Oct 97.
My 6/9/97

Absent
Petr
My 18/10/97

Posted to 20th January 98

Notice served.
20.1.98.
Petitioner absent
Case adjourned to 21st January

K.D Sastri
18-10-97.

Pet[r] absent on both
the days when the case
was called on him & the Court
made Special arrangements to
receive Pet[r] (who is ill, Bail)
from his duty Recess Sub[d] Inquiry

Pet[r] has applied for adjournment
again (Pet[r] No 1872) 21/1/98

Mere can have
objection
the Petitioner.
He says he has
heard the
Learned Counsel
contrary - Part
this in ---
Urgent
25/1/98

Notice taken of
26/1/98

Parties to 13th June 1898.

Reference
AK 15/9/97

Read 6/8

AK Please put up the Printed record on subject.

Jp 14/8

Jmk 6/8

Representation
Libnil45
Distt Court office
Ramanathapuram
AK 15/9/97

1) Thumbs impression

2) Out of time by about 2 months but it appears that no ends (by a mistake in the office at a time when the office was partly in typing) was served to pet.

3) Application for review of Govt order prohibiting coffee cultivation to pet's Kanakan but permitting as a special case the cultivation of pet's lands & coffee belong to pet. so far as

4) Petition in view of the 2nd para in the Govt proceedings that pet. wants to raise coffee without paying assessment

Sd. by Counsel

16/8/97

Rs 6.30 Cm.
17.8.97.

If the petitioners case is found true whether the petn on the pending

Intimate pet. 18/8/97 dep 18/8/97 Issued 18/8/97

1370 of 98-9

1429

1897

No. 345 R.

Name of Petitioner Kumaraswamy Gounder

Village Kanjakkoil

Taluk Dindigul

District Madura

Date of Arzi

Date of Receipt 30th July 97.

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure Nil.

ABSTRACT.

Petitioner prays that the order regarding the coffee cultivation on his Kanakan lands may be reconsidered - and that order may be set aside & the Deputy Commissioner stop the execution of the said order of Govt. pending the disposal of his application.

Note. The petition being in English - the details of which are not given here.

The grounds of review are

4/8/97 (1) That Kanakan lands

(1) Maps like the above are not bound by the rules of 1885; Inam lands are bound by the conditions of Grant

(2) That there are numerous cases where Kans or Inam Wkaps have been planted with coffee & converted into Coffee gardens without paying extra assessment.

16/8/97

It should however be remarked that the Kan rules of 1885 were but the rules of 1879 with slight modifications in favour of the ryots. The Govt. Circ. No 1490-9/ dt 25/11/85 (on the file) explains why the modifications were considered necessary

16/8/97



To

The Government
of Ivory Coast

GOVERNMENT OFFICE
PETITION DEPARTMENT
REGD. No. 545 C
DATE 30-7-97

The humble petition of Simmanda Diandian of Newmark Village Tiahally Taluk of the Shimoga District, Mysore Sheweth.

That the Petitioner acknowledges with gratitude the decision passed in his case, setting aside the order of the Deputy Commissioner and directing the refund of the fine imposed, the Petitioner feels constrained to trouble the Government for a reconsideration of their order, regarding the cultivation of Coffee on the Petitioners Hill lands and the treatment of the plants at present existing thereon.

The order wishes the Rules of 1885, relating to Government Lands to be made applicable to the Lands forming part of the Petitioners Enam Village and seems

with Coffee Cultivation therein
without let or hinderance and
the Petitioner hopes that the
Government has not the re-
motest idea of treating the
Petitioners case in an Encephalic
manner.

The Petitioner beseeches the
Government to instruct the Deputy
Commissioner of Shimoga not
to uproot the Coffee plants on
the Petitioners Kan lands nor
Execute the order now passed
pending disposal of the present
Representation.

to have been based on an opinion
Expressed by the Commissioner
Inam Settlement, called for after
the question was raised by the
Petitioners Complaint against
the order of the Deputy Commissioner
The Petitioner begs leave humbly
to submit that it is against
all known principles of Law
to give, Retrospective effect to
the Rules of 1885 to make them
govern Cases Existing long prior
to their Enactment and it is
against Justice to make the
Rules intended for a special
Class of Inams to apply to all
Inams and private Inams held
on Inam grants.

The Village held by the Petitioner
was the subject of Settlement by
the Inam Department in 1873-75
and no such restrictions as the
Government Proceedings under
Reference seem to place on the
Rights of the Petitioner to cultivate
Coffee in his Inam Lands, were
even so much as hinted, but on
the other hand, the Inam was
Confirmed to him free from all

impediments as to the extent or mode of its Enjoyment and the Petitioner solicits attention of the Government to the terms of the Inam Settlement.

The Petitioner feels grieved to learn that the Government Should have expressed the opinion that what was alienated in the Petitioner's case was only the assessment of Rs 12 on 185 acres of land, to which the Government was Entitled and that therefore the Petitioner has not the right to derive greater benefit than this. It may be permitted to the Petitioner to point out that in all cases of land Inam, the Government gives up only its rights to receive its quota of assessment (Except where further and special rights are Expressly Reserved) and to argue therefrom that the grantee of the Inam Cannot do what he likes with the land and to place restrictions on him as to the mode of Enjoying the same is alike unjust and unreasonable.

The view adopted by the Government in the Petitioners Case seems to be

No. 657

Mysore State.

Ho. 1-9-97

Rule

Fee. Stamp.





ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಸೇವಕರ ನಡತೆ ನಿಯಮಗಳು ೧೯೫೭

ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಸೇವಕರ ನಡತೆಯ ನಿಯಮಗಳನ್ನು ಕ್ರೋಡೀಕರಿಸಿ ಪರಿಷ್ಕರಿಸಿ ಹೊಸ ನಿಯಮಗಳನ್ನು ರೂಪಿಸಲು ಸರ್ಕಾರವು ಕೈಗೊಂಡಿರುವ ಕ್ರಮವನ್ನು ಈ ಸಭೆಯು ಸ್ವಾಗತಿಸುತ್ತದೆ. ಈ ಸಭೆಯ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಈ ನಿಯಮಗಳು ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಸೇವಕರ ನಡತೆಯ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕರಲ್ಲಿ ಇರುವ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯಗಳನ್ನು ಪ್ರತಿಬಿಂಬಿಸುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಈ ನಿಯಮಗಳು ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಸೇವಕರ ಮೇಲೆ ಹೆಚ್ಚಿನ ಹೊಣೆಗಾರಿಕೆಯನ್ನು ಹೊರಿಸುವುದಿಲ್ಲ ಮತ್ತು ಅವರ ಸೇವಾ ಭದ್ರತೆಯನ್ನು ಹೆಚ್ಚಿಸುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಈ ನಿಯಮಗಳು ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಸೇವಕರ ಮೇಲೆ ಹೆಚ್ಚಿನ ಹೊಣೆಗಾರಿಕೆಯನ್ನು ಹೊರಿಸುವುದಿಲ್ಲ ಮತ್ತು ಅವರ ಸೇವಾ ಭದ್ರತೆಯನ್ನು ಹೆಚ್ಚಿಸುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಈ ನಿಯಮಗಳು ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಸೇವಕರ ಮೇಲೆ ಹೆಚ್ಚಿನ ಹೊಣೆಗಾರಿಕೆಯನ್ನು ಹೊರಿಸುವುದಿಲ್ಲ ಮತ್ತು ಅವರ ಸೇವಾ ಭದ್ರತೆಯನ್ನು ಹೆಚ್ಚಿಸುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಈ ನಿಯಮಗಳು ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಸೇವಕರ ಮೇಲೆ ಹೆಚ್ಚಿನ ಹೊಣೆಗಾರಿಕೆಯನ್ನು ಹೊರಿಸುವುದಿಲ್ಲ ಮತ್ತು ಅವರ ಸೇವಾ ಭದ್ರತೆಯನ್ನು ಹೆಚ್ಚಿಸುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಈ ನಿಯಮಗಳು ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಸೇವಕರ ಮೇಲೆ ಹೆಚ್ಚಿನ ಹೊಣೆಗಾರಿಕೆಯನ್ನು ಹೊರಿಸುವುದಿಲ್ಲ ಮತ್ತು ಅವರ ಸೇವಾ ಭದ್ರತೆಯನ್ನು ಹೆಚ್ಚಿಸುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಈ ನಿಯಮಗಳು ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಸೇವಕರ ಮೇಲೆ ಹೆಚ್ಚಿನ ಹೊಣೆಗಾರಿಕೆಯನ್ನು ಹೊರಿಸುವುದಿಲ್ಲ ಮತ್ತು ಅವರ ಸೇವಾ ಭದ್ರತೆಯನ್ನು ಹೆಚ್ಚಿಸುವುದಿಲ್ಲ.



ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಸೇವಕರ ನಡತೆಯ ನಿಯಮಗಳು ೧೯೫೭ ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಸೇವಕರ ನಡತೆಯ ನಿಯಮಗಳನ್ನು ಕ್ರೋಡೀಕರಿಸಿ ಪರಿಷ್ಕರಿಸಿ ಹೊಸ ನಿಯಮಗಳನ್ನು ರೂಪಿಸಲು ಸರ್ಕಾರವು ಕೈಗೊಂಡಿರುವ ಕ್ರಮವನ್ನು ಈ ಸಭೆಯು ಸ್ವಾಗತಿಸುತ್ತದೆ. ಈ ಸಭೆಯ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಈ ನಿಯಮಗಳು ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಸೇವಕರ ನಡತೆಯ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕರಲ್ಲಿ ಇರುವ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯಗಳನ್ನು ಪ್ರತಿಬಿಂಬಿಸುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಈ ನಿಯಮಗಳು ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಸೇವಕರ ಮೇಲೆ ಹೆಚ್ಚಿನ ಹೊಣೆಗಾರಿಕೆಯನ್ನು ಹೊರಿಸುವುದಿಲ್ಲ ಮತ್ತು ಅವರ ಸೇವಾ ಭದ್ರತೆಯನ್ನು ಹೆಚ್ಚಿಸುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಈ ನಿಯಮಗಳು ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಸೇವಕರ ಮೇಲೆ ಹೆಚ್ಚಿನ ಹೊಣೆಗಾರಿಕೆಯನ್ನು ಹೊರಿಸುವುದಿಲ್ಲ ಮತ್ತು ಅವರ ಸೇವಾ ಭದ್ರತೆಯನ್ನು ಹೆಚ್ಚಿಸುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಈ ನಿಯಮಗಳು ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಸೇವಕರ ಮೇಲೆ ಹೆಚ್ಚಿನ ಹೊಣೆಗಾರಿಕೆಯನ್ನು ಹೊರಿಸುವುದಿಲ್ಲ ಮತ್ತು ಅವರ ಸೇವಾ ಭದ್ರತೆಯನ್ನು ಹೆಚ್ಚಿಸುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಈ ನಿಯಮಗಳು ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಸೇವಕರ ಮೇಲೆ ಹೆಚ್ಚಿನ ಹೊಣೆಗಾರಿಕೆಯನ್ನು ಹೊರಿಸುವುದಿಲ್ಲ ಮತ್ತು ಅವರ ಸೇವಾ ಭದ್ರತೆಯನ್ನು ಹೆಚ್ಚಿಸುವುದಿಲ್ಲ.

ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಸೇವಕರ ನಡತೆಯ ನಿಯಮಗಳು ೧೯೫೭ ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಸೇವಕರ ನಡತೆಯ ನಿಯಮಗಳನ್ನು ಕ್ರೋಡೀಕರಿಸಿ ಪರಿಷ್ಕರಿಸಿ ಹೊಸ ನಿಯಮಗಳನ್ನು ರೂಪಿಸಲು ಸರ್ಕಾರವು ಕೈಗೊಂಡಿರುವ ಕ್ರಮವನ್ನು ಈ ಸಭೆಯು ಸ್ವಾಗತಿಸುತ್ತದೆ. ಈ ಸಭೆಯ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಈ ನಿಯಮಗಳು ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಸೇವಕರ ನಡತೆಯ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕರಲ್ಲಿ ಇರುವ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯಗಳನ್ನು ಪ್ರತಿಬಿಂಬಿಸುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಈ ನಿಯಮಗಳು ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಸೇವಕರ ಮೇಲೆ ಹೆಚ್ಚಿನ ಹೊಣೆಗಾರಿಕೆಯನ್ನು ಹೊರಿಸುವುದಿಲ್ಲ ಮತ್ತು ಅವರ ಸೇವಾ ಭದ್ರತೆಯನ್ನು ಹೆಚ್ಚಿಸುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಈ ನಿಯಮಗಳು ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಸೇವಕರ ಮೇಲೆ ಹೆಚ್ಚಿನ ಹೊಣೆಗಾರಿಕೆಯನ್ನು ಹೊರಿಸುವುದಿಲ್ಲ ಮತ್ತು ಅವರ ಸೇವಾ ಭದ್ರತೆಯನ್ನು ಹೆಚ್ಚಿಸುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಈ ನಿಯಮಗಳು ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಸೇವಕರ ಮೇಲೆ ಹೆಚ್ಚಿನ ಹೊಣೆಗಾರಿಕೆಯನ್ನು ಹೊರಿಸುವುದಿಲ್ಲ ಮತ್ತು ಅವರ ಸೇವಾ ಭದ್ರತೆಯನ್ನು ಹೆಚ್ಚಿಸುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಈ ನಿಯಮಗಳು ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಸೇವಕರ ಮೇಲೆ ಹೆಚ್ಚಿನ ಹೊಣೆಗಾರಿಕೆಯನ್ನು ಹೊರಿಸುವುದಿಲ್ಲ ಮತ್ತು ಅವರ ಸೇವಾ ಭದ್ರತೆಯನ್ನು ಹೆಚ್ಚಿಸುವುದಿಲ್ಲ.

ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಸೇವಕರ ನಡತೆಯ ನಿಯಮಗಳು ೧೯೫೭ ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಸೇವಕರ ನಡತೆಯ ನಿಯಮಗಳನ್ನು ಕ್ರೋಡೀಕರಿಸಿ ಪರಿಷ್ಕರಿಸಿ ಹೊಸ ನಿಯಮಗಳನ್ನು ರೂಪಿಸಲು ಸರ್ಕಾರವು ಕೈಗೊಂಡಿರುವ ಕ್ರಮವನ್ನು ಈ ಸಭೆಯು ಸ್ವಾಗತಿಸುತ್ತದೆ. ಈ ಸಭೆಯ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಈ ನಿಯಮಗಳು ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಸೇವಕರ ನಡತೆಯ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕರಲ್ಲಿ ಇರುವ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯಗಳನ್ನು ಪ್ರತಿಬಿಂಬಿಸುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಈ ನಿಯಮಗಳು ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಸೇವಕರ ಮೇಲೆ ಹೆಚ್ಚಿನ ಹೊಣೆಗಾರಿಕೆಯನ್ನು ಹೊರಿಸುವುದಿಲ್ಲ ಮತ್ತು ಅವರ ಸೇವಾ ಭದ್ರತೆಯನ್ನು ಹೆಚ್ಚಿಸುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಈ ನಿಯಮಗಳು ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಸೇವಕರ ಮೇಲೆ ಹೆಚ್ಚಿನ ಹೊಣೆಗಾರಿಕೆಯನ್ನು ಹೊರಿಸುವುದಿಲ್ಲ ಮತ್ತು ಅವರ ಸೇವಾ ಭದ್ರತೆಯನ್ನು ಹೆಚ್ಚಿಸುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಈ ನಿಯಮಗಳು ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಸೇವಕರ ಮೇಲೆ ಹೆಚ್ಚಿನ ಹೊಣೆಗಾರಿಕೆಯನ್ನು ಹೊರಿಸುವುದಿಲ್ಲ ಮತ್ತು ಅವರ ಸೇವಾ ಭದ್ರತೆಯನ್ನು ಹೆಚ್ಚಿಸುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಈ ನಿಯಮಗಳು ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಸೇವಕರ ಮೇಲೆ ಹೆಚ್ಚಿನ ಹೊಣೆಗಾರಿಕೆಯನ್ನು ಹೊರಿಸುವುದಿಲ್ಲ ಮತ್ತು ಅವರ ಸೇವಾ ಭದ್ರತೆಯನ್ನು ಹೆಚ್ಚಿಸುವುದಿಲ್ಲ.

ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಸೇವಕರ ನಡತೆಯ ನಿಯಮಗಳು ೧೯೫೭ ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಸೇವಕರ ನಡತೆಯ ನಿಯಮಗಳನ್ನು ಕ್ರೋಡೀಕರಿಸಿ ಪರಿಷ್ಕರಿಸಿ ಹೊಸ ನಿಯಮಗಳನ್ನು ರೂಪಿಸಲು ಸರ್ಕಾರವು ಕೈಗೊಂಡಿರುವ ಕ್ರಮವನ್ನು ಈ ಸಭೆಯು ಸ್ವಾಗತಿಸುತ್ತದೆ. ಈ ಸಭೆಯ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಈ ನಿಯಮಗಳು ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಸೇವಕರ ನಡತೆಯ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕರಲ್ಲಿ ಇರುವ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯಗಳನ್ನು ಪ್ರತಿಬಿಂಬಿಸುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಈ ನಿಯಮಗಳು ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಸೇವಕರ ಮೇಲೆ ಹೆಚ್ಚಿನ ಹೊಣೆಗಾರಿಕೆಯನ್ನು ಹೊರಿಸುವುದಿಲ್ಲ ಮತ್ತು ಅವರ ಸೇವಾ ಭದ್ರತೆಯನ್ನು ಹೆಚ್ಚಿಸುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಈ ನಿಯಮಗಳು ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಸೇವಕರ ಮೇಲೆ ಹೆಚ್ಚಿನ ಹೊಣೆಗಾರಿಕೆಯನ್ನು ಹೊರಿಸುವುದಿಲ್ಲ ಮತ್ತು ಅವರ ಸೇವಾ ಭದ್ರತೆಯನ್ನು ಹೆಚ್ಚಿಸುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಈ ನಿಯಮಗಳು ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಸೇವಕರ ಮೇಲೆ ಹೆಚ್ಚಿನ ಹೊಣೆಗಾರಿಕೆಯನ್ನು ಹೊರಿಸುವುದಿಲ್ಲ ಮತ್ತು ಅವರ ಸೇವಾ ಭದ್ರತೆಯನ್ನು ಹೆಚ್ಚಿಸುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಈ ನಿಯಮಗಳು ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಸೇವಕರ ಮೇಲೆ ಹೆಚ್ಚಿನ ಹೊಣೆಗಾರಿಕೆಯನ್ನು ಹೊರಿಸುವುದಿಲ್ಲ ಮತ್ತು ಅವರ ಸೇವಾ ಭದ್ರತೆಯನ್ನು ಹೆಚ್ಚಿಸುವುದಿಲ್ಲ.

ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಸೇವಕರ ನಡತೆಯ ನಿಯಮಗಳು ೧೯೫೭ ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಸೇವಕರ ನಡತೆಯ ನಿಯಮಗಳನ್ನು ಕ್ರೋಡೀಕರಿಸಿ ಪರಿಷ್ಕರಿಸಿ ಹೊಸ ನಿಯಮಗಳನ್ನು ರೂಪಿಸಲು ಸರ್ಕಾರವು ಕೈಗೊಂಡಿರುವ ಕ್ರಮವನ್ನು ಈ ಸಭೆಯು ಸ್ವಾಗತಿಸುತ್ತದೆ. ಈ ಸಭೆಯ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಈ ನಿಯಮಗಳು ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಸೇವಕರ ನಡತೆಯ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕರಲ್ಲಿ ಇರುವ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯಗಳನ್ನು ಪ್ರತಿಬಿಂಬಿಸುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಈ ನಿಯಮಗಳು ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಸೇವಕರ ಮೇಲೆ ಹೆಚ್ಚಿನ ಹೊಣೆಗಾರಿಕೆಯನ್ನು ಹೊರಿಸುವುದಿಲ್ಲ ಮತ್ತು ಅವರ ಸೇವಾ ಭದ್ರತೆಯನ್ನು ಹೆಚ್ಚಿಸುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಈ ನಿಯಮಗಳು ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಸೇವಕರ ಮೇಲೆ ಹೆಚ್ಚಿನ ಹೊಣೆಗಾರಿಕೆಯನ್ನು ಹೊರಿಸುವುದಿಲ್ಲ ಮತ್ತು ಅವರ ಸೇವಾ ಭದ್ರತೆಯನ್ನು ಹೆಚ್ಚಿಸುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಈ ನಿಯಮಗಳು ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಸೇವಕರ ಮೇಲೆ ಹೆಚ್ಚಿನ ಹೊಣೆಗಾರಿಕೆಯನ್ನು ಹೊರಿಸುವುದಿಲ್ಲ ಮತ್ತು ಅವರ ಸೇವಾ ಭದ್ರತೆಯನ್ನು ಹೆಚ್ಚಿಸುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಈ ನಿಯಮಗಳು ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಸೇವಕರ ಮೇಲೆ ಹೆಚ್ಚಿನ ಹೊಣೆಗಾರಿಕೆಯನ್ನು ಹೊರಿಸುವುದಿಲ್ಲ ಮತ್ತು ಅವರ ಸೇವಾ ಭದ್ರತೆಯನ್ನು ಹೆಚ್ಚಿಸುವುದಿಲ್ಲ.

ಪ್ರಾಸಂಗಿಕ ಚಿತ್ರಗಳು ಅವರ ಮನಸ್ಸಿನ ಭಾವನೆಗಳಿಗೆ ಪೂರಕವಾಗಿ ಬಂದಿವೆ.

ಮಕ್ಕಳ ಕಲಿಕೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಅವರ ಅನುಭವಗಳಿಗೆ ಪೂರಕವಾಗಿ ಚಿತ್ರಗಳು ಇರಬೇಕು.

ಚಿತ್ರಗಳು ಮಕ್ಕಳಲ್ಲಿ ಕುತೂಹಲ ಮೂಡಿಸುವಂತಿರಬೇಕು.

(74)

note that the donee of an Enam ought not to derive larger benefits but must give up all benefits in excess of what the Government was receiving as assessment at the time the Enam was granted. The Petitioner however believes that this is not really the intentions of Government though the consequences of the order to the Petitioner are no better.

The Enam held by the Petitioner is an ancient one and the Government can only look to the fulfilment of the conditions, if any, imposed by the grant but it cannot, the Petitioner — ventures to submit - create fresh disabilities and impose new restrictions as expediency may suggest and the Petitioner humbly craves the forbearance of Government and solicits a reconsideration of their order after hearing the Petitioner fully once more and after referring to the Enam Grants and other papers he possess.

The Petitioner is aware of the existence of numerous private Enams possessing Lands

ಹೊಳೆಯ ತಿರಸ್ಕಾರವನ್ನಷ್ಟೇ — ಅವಿಲ ತಿಳಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲಿ,
ನಮ್ಮ ಪರವಾಗಿ ಮೊದಲೆ ರಾಜ್ಯಾಕಾಂಕ್ಷಿಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ದಾತ್ರವಳು ನಮ್ಮವಳು
ಎಂಬ ನಿಶ್ಚಿತಾಭಿಪ್ರಾಯವನ್ನು ಕುರಂಜಿಮಲೆಯ ಕಿರಾತರಲ್ಲಿ
ಬಿತ್ತಿ ನಮ್ಮ ಪಕ್ಷಕ್ಕೆ ಸಹಾಯಕವಾಗುವಂತೆ ಕೇಪ್ರ ಹುಲಿಕುಂದಯ್ಯ
ಪೂಂಜನಿಗೆ ಆಜ್ಞೆ ಮಾಡಿಸುತ್ತೇನೆ — ನಾನು ಸ್ವಾಮಿಯ
ನಮ್ಮ ಪರಕಾರಕ್ಕೆ ಒಂದು ಸಾವಿರ ಪೂರ್ಣ ಪಾಯಿ ಕುಂದಾಯಕ್ಕೆ
ರಕ್ಷಣೆಗೆ ಕಳಿಸಿಕೊಡುತ್ತೇನೆ — ಎರಡು ಪೂರ್ಣ ಪಾಯಿ ನಾಣ್ಯಗಳನ್ನು
ಲಂಚವಾಗಿ ಕೊಡುತ್ತೇನೆ — ನಾವು ಇಲ್ಲಿಂದ ಹೊರಟಾಗ
ಕೆಲಸ ಪೂರ್ಣವಾಗಿರಬೇಕು.

Inv. Sroute.

As directed by the Asst. Secretary this should be listed with the 2nd Journals on the date of hearing.

1-9-97

HK

HP 148-94-17

1897 (167)

No. 657

Name of Petitioner Timmanna Gowda

Village Hemmakki

Taluk Chitradurga

District Shimoga

Date of Arzi 29-8-97

Date of Receipt 1-9-97

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure nil

ABSTRACT.

With reference to the notice dated 20-8-97 received by him on 26-8-97 directing him to be present at the time of hearing, petitioner begs to submit that he has been suffering from fever and cold since the last 2 months and besides as there is cholera prevailing in the way from Shimoga to Bangalore, the petitioner is unable to start to Bangalore and that it will take some time for him to come himself and get ready.

Prays for adjournment of his case to some other date

date after payments
from this date and dispose
of the appeal case after
giving him a chance of
representing his grievances
in person and to enable
him to appear in the
records in the matter

Prays for orders
in the alternative in terms of the
averments prayed
for

[signature] 1/9/97

Reference
HK
25-9-97

W.P. 1145-94-13

657 3 7 Reference to Sub-Collr Hosur HK 25/9/97

348

25/9

Petr's appeal has been decided but he never appld to pending

Hd Bd Comalla

My. 25/9/97

Rd 7 Rm. 25.9.97

1897 (17)

No. 380

Name of Petitioner Thimmanna Gowd

Village Hennekelle

Taluk Shikarpalli

District Shimoga

Date of Arzi 19-9-97

Date of Receipt 25-9-97

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure one harassment notice

ABSTRACT.

Petr's appeal was given up as he was not present & it was also stated that petr's appeal was compromised between the parties & a petition was put in to withdraw the appeal & the order of Sub. Collr was stopped until

30.9.97

In continuation of his previous petition dated 22.8.97 praying for adjournment of his case regarding the coffee arrears in his Inam lands Nos 42 and 56 of Hennekelle village, petr begs to submit that he has been served with a notice (hereto annexed) fixing the sale of his lands for arrears of kandayam of Rs 25-10-6 on the 9th October 1897; and prays for stay of sale of his lands pending disposal of his appeal. Receipt

Petr further submits that he is a respectable man paying a kandayam of Rs 1000 & upwards

25/9/97

Encl: 1 Notice

HK 25/9/97

(2)

LR 1148-96-11

ధ. 880. తేది. Issued from camp
(18) 2.10.97.

గౌ. శిబిర కార్యనిర్వాహక అధికారి వారికి

శీర్షిక: సర్వే కార్యాలయం మంజూరు

కొమరగిరి మరియు చిలకలపాడు గ్రామాల్లో సర్వే కార్యాలయం ఏర్పాటు చేయడం ద్వారా ప్రజలకు సులభంగా సేవలు అందించవచ్చు. ఈ ప్రాంతంలో సర్వే కార్యాలయం లేకపోవడం వల్ల ప్రజలు భూమి సంబంధిత పనుల కోసం చాలా దూరం ప్రయాణించవలసి వస్తోంది. ఈ కారణంగా వారు ఎంతో ఇబ్బంది పడుతున్నారు. ఈ సమస్యను పరిష్కరించడానికి కొమరగిరి మరియు చిలకలపాడు గ్రామాల్లో సర్వే కార్యాలయం ఏర్పాటు చేయడం అవసరం.

ఈ కార్యాలయం ఏర్పాటు చేయడం వల్ల ప్రజలకు సమయం, డబ్బు ఆదా అవుతుంది. అలాగే భూ సంబంధిత వివాదాలు త్వరగా పరిష్కారమవుతాయి. ఈ కార్యాలయం ఏర్పాటు చేయడానికి అవసరమైన భూమి, భవనం, సిబ్బంది మరియు ఇతర సౌకర్యాలు కల్పించడానికి మేము సిద్ధంగా ఉన్నాము. ఈ కార్యాలయం ఏర్పాటు చేయడానికి అవసరమైన ఏర్పాట్లు చేయవలసిందిగా మేము కోరుతున్నాము.

ఈ కార్యాలయం ఏర్పాటు చేయడం వల్ల ప్రజలకు కలిగే ప్రయోజనాలు:

1. సమయం ఆదా
2. డబ్బు ఆదా
3. భూ సంబంధిత వివాదాలు త్వరగా పరిష్కారం
4. ప్రజలకు సులభంగా సేవలు అందుబాటులోకి రావడం

ఈ కార్యాలయం ఏర్పాటు చేయడానికి అవసరమైన ఏర్పాట్లు చేయవలసిందిగా మేము కోరుతున్నాము. ఈ కార్యాలయం ఏర్పాటు చేయడం వల్ల ప్రజలకు కలిగే ప్రయోజనాలు చాలా ఉన్నాయి. ఈ కార్యాలయం ఏర్పాటు చేయడానికి అవసరమైన ఏర్పాట్లు చేయవలసిందిగా మేము కోరుతున్నాము.

LR 1148-96-16

1429787

(20)

189 8

From

The Deputy Commissioner
Shimoga District

To

The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore
General and Revenue Depts.

Dated 5th
Despatched 9 } May 1898.
Received

General No. 1265
No. 1043

Enclosure No. Enclosed

Recommends that the Kan Inam tenure of one Thimmanna Patel of Hemmakki, Tirthahalli Taluk in Survey no. 42 be cancelled and the land resumed by Government.

Abs 11/5/98

DEPARTMENT
Tl. No. 820
11 1898
R No 4834
8 686

Sub d 5 2nd Committee
with reps & precis entd
10/6/98

7.60 Rs.
6.98

Letters heard this
morning one from all
Yemenadu
noted
11/6/98

was absent on 10/6/98
Sub d by 2nd Committee
10/6/98

Mr Peterson having
recalled the terms
of the Kan tenure
& cultivated coffee
in question with
express orders to the
contrary, the Kan
land is as recommended
by the Dy Comr, resumed
the land in the transaction
of this Memorandum
will be dealt with
under coffee rules.
noted

14/6/98
Secy Govt
14/6/98

No. 1265
1043
Recommend

Deputy Commissioner
Shimoga Distric

Dated, Camp Honnali, 5th M
9

(83)

To

The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore,
General and Revenue Departm

Sir,

I have the honour to recommend t
Kan Inam tenure of one Kullunde Shiva
Patel of Hemmakki, Thirthahalli Taluk, in Sh
42 (167 3/40 acres assessed at 10 Rs. 12 as) be c
and the land resumed by Government for t
ing reasons.

2. The land is included in a Brahma
Inam with a liability to pay a Jodi of Rs 13
this and other lands the total extent of u
entered as 271 32/40 acres. This particular
is entered as Kan land.

3. In 1894, the Amildar learnt that a
this land had been cultivated with coffee
the Sekdar to report on the case. As a res
Deputy Commissioner ordered that the
planted may be left to him for coffee cul
on payment of the assessment at the ra

Forwarded 28/10/97

88 (1) Re Encls

LC 1118-94-15

The enclosed Petition is to be
As the petitioner cannot attend at the
time of hearing he prays that
case be adjourned to some
date having an interval
of 6 months for him to recover
from his sickness

28/10/97

1897 [illegible]

No.	1060
Name of Petitioner	[illegible]
Village	[illegible]
Taluk	~~[illegible]~~ Theerthahalli
District	[illegible]
Date of Arzi	20-9-97
Date of Receipt	30-10-97
Date of Disposal	
No. of Enclosure	—

ABSTRACT.

The petitioner states that in reply to ~~[illegible]~~ the notice of 14-9-97 from the Office, he has submitted his petition of 29-8-97 stating that he was sick to attend the hearing; that even now that he is unable to attend the hearing on account of sickness. He prays that his case may be adjourned to some date having an interval of 6 months for him to recover from his sickness.

Mr.
27/10/97

Forwarded
20/12/97

Mr 22/12/97

Forwarded 28-Jan-98

8. Under Rule 5 (8) of the Kan Rules (dated 25th November 1885) whenever any Conditions of Kan tenure are infringed, the Kan may be resumed. The Proceedings of Government passed in this man's previous case (no. 7648-49 P 1370 dated 28.2.97) lays down that these rules must also apply to inam Kans. If this land is left as inam Kan in this party's holding, he is certain to encroach on the remaining extent of it year by year. I therefore recommend that the Inam tenure be cancelled and the remaining extent entered as a banjar Kan. If this person or any other wishes to have it for Coffee cultivation, the application may thereafter be disposed of on its merits.

The previous records of the case are herewith submitted for reference.

I have the honour to be
Sir,
your most obedient servant
H. Manjunadayya
Offg. Deputy Commissioner
Shimoga District.

To

ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ

14 ಅಕ್ಟೋಬರ್

ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ

14 ಅಕ್ಟೋಬರ್

ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ

14 ಅಕ್ಟೋಬರ್

(3-10-94) and further (11-1-95) that 10 Rupees an acre should be levied as upset price.

4. The man lodged an appeal on this from adjudication to Government; and order no. 764 & 8-49 / P 1370 dated 28-2-97, was passed that the upset-price should be excused but that the occupant should pay Kandaya at the rate of one Rupee an acre.

5. The Amildar sent a measurer to measure the Coffee growing land separately, when it was found that an additional extent of 19 2/40 acres had been planted with Coffee above the extent already used in that manner. The Amildar placed the matter for orders in the takrar list of this year's Jamabandi.

6. The occupant stated that he had previously prepared this land and planted Coffee thereon; that he had applied to Government to revise the above order levying assessment and would abide by the final decision thereon as applied also to this additional extent. On 22d. May 1895, the man deposed before the Amildar that he had prepared 11 or 15

Two Rupees

(22) Proceedings of the Court of Revenue

Read also Lr 1148-94-14

Pet Proc no 7648-49 &

(89)

Read:-

Petition no 345 received on 30-7-97, from Timmanna gauda, Hemmakki village in the Tirthahalli Taluk, praying for review of the order in no 7648-49 dated 28th February 1898, prohibiting coffee cultivation in petitioner's Inam kan but permitting on a special case the conversion of petitioner into a coffee holding, if so desired, subject to coffee rules.

$ 1370

28/2/97 remitting the fine inflicted on Timmanna gauda of Hemmakki in the Thirthahalli Taluk for having cultivated coffee in his Inam kan observing that the holding is subject to the same restrictions as an ordinary holding on kan tenure.

2.

Letter no 1265 dated 5th May 1898, from the Deputy Commissioner, Shimoga Division, reporting that Timmanna gauda had brought an additional area of his Inam kan under coffee cultivation since the passing of the Inam rules and requesting that the order passed in the petition may be cancelled and that he may be allowed to continue the cultivation of coffee in the said kan

~~of Sandimani occupancy,~~ /,
~~subject to Coffee Rules~~
and recommending the
cancellation of
the Inam tenure and the
resumption of the Inam
land to Govt.

3. The records of the case.

Order thereon No. 11863-4 P.785-97 dt. 18.6.98 / 20

The petitioner having
violated the terms of the
Inam tenure and cultivated
coffee in opposition to the
express orders to the contrary,
the Inam land ~~is~~ will, as
recommended by the Dy Comr:,
be resumed to Govt. The
land in the possession of
Timmanna gauda will
be dealt with under the
Coffee Rules.

C.
16.6.98.

for Secretary

To
1. The Dy Comr:, Shimoga Dt
with records —
2. The Inam Superintendent
in the case

x 23-6-98
21-6-98

acres of land for coffee planting. As this was done after the Deputy Commissioner's order laying down that the holder of the Inam Kan had no right to grow Coffee thereon, it cannot be said that the planted Coffee in this additional area from any bona fide misapprehension as to the proper extent of his own rights. For he is himself the Patil of the village, it was incumbent on him to have reported the matter and obtain orders before he accomplished this work.

I have inspected the land, and find that the plantation is in too good a state for the Coffee being ordered to be pulled out and the land restored to its jungly state. In fact, I think that the growth of Coffee in this tract which is in its primitive stage deserves to be distinctly encouraged. I have therefore ordered that assessment for this land at 1 Rupee an acre should be levied from this year. I have requested the Amildar to get the Coffee portion separately demarcated and entered as in the occupancy of this man.

"Thank you"

16/10/18

REVENUE DEPARTMENT
Gl. No. 695
JUL 28 1898
DEWAN'S OFFICE

1895-99

From

The Superintendent of
Inam Settlements in Mysore,
Bangalore.

To

The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore.
General and Revenue
Departments.

Dated 26th July 1898

Despatched

Received

No. 8 of 1895-99

Enclosure No. 1

With reference to the Government Proceedings No. 362-4 / 5955-99 dated 8th June 1895, I have the honor to forward a copy of Inam Settlement Register No. 347 of Inam Halli Village, Shikaripur Taluk.

Supt. of Inam Settlts

Peter
29/7/98

Rev 29/7 D7805-997 is put up
MK 30/7/98
3/8/98 mg Submd

Ye
3/8/98

This may be sent to the Rev Board
A note in the Quit-rent
Regr & Abstract return
then it may be filed
3/8/98

W. Rutty
Under Secy

Regd 2.8.
5th 1813. 63.
22/8

Shikaripur TALUK, Shimoga DISTRICT, ——— DIVISION.
ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು ಜಿಲ್ಲೆ ವಿಭಾಗ

ಜಮೀನಿನ ಹಣ್ಣಿಲ್.			Total. ಜಾಮ್ಲಾ.		Former jodi ಹಿಂದಿನ ಜೋಡಿ.	Name of Inamdar, &c. ಇನಾಮ್‌ದಾರ್ ಹೆಸರು	Remarks. ಷರಾ
Garden. ತೋಟಾಯಿತು.							
Field No. ಜಮೀನಿನನಂ.	Extent. ವಿಸ್ತಾರ.	Assessment. ಪಟ್ಟಿ.	Extent. ವಿಸ್ತಾರ.	Assessment. ಪಟ್ಟಿ.			
8			9	10	11	12	13
						Kaolinday	
						1, Ununaik	
						2. Govindaik	
						3. Dharmaik	
						4 Pompaik	
						5 Inandagaddé Krishnacha	
					Kanaisi Rs 5-10-9		

The Inamdars having violated the terms of the Kau-
lenama, the Kau lands have been resumed to Govern-
-ment, Vide Govt Proc. No. 11263-74 dt 18th June 98.
dt 15-7-98.

Bangalore
dt 20th July 98
Inspr
Inl.

Supdt of I. Settlt

Suppl. REGISTER OF INAMS Resumed IN THE
ಇನಾಮಗಳ ರಿಜಿಷ್ಟರ್

General No. ಜನರಲ್ ನಂಬರು	Hobli, summat or magani. ಹೋಬಳಿ ಅಥವಾ ಮಗನಿ.	VILLAGE ಗ್ರಾಮ.	Case No. ಕೇಸ್ ನಂಬರ್.	Description of Inam. ಇನಾಮಿನ ವಿವರ.	Particulars of land					
					Dry. ಖುಷ್ಕಿ			Wet. ತರ		
					Field No. ಜಮೀನಿನ ನಂ.	Extent. ವಿಸ್ತಾರ.	Assessment. ಶಿಸ್ತು	Field No. ಜಮೀನಿನ ನಂ.	Extent. ವಿಸ್ತಾರ.	Assessment. ಶಿಸ್ತು
1	2	3	4	5	6			7		
347	Kaundasgadde	Konnalli	237 area 1.	perumal						